

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE :	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVER	RY (CI)		
	RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAINT NO:			
AIRS ID#: 0550016 DA	TE: <u>7/22/08</u>	ARRIVE: <u>10:30 a.m.</u>	DEPART: 1:00 p.m.		
FACILITY NAME: JA	HNA CONCRETE, INC.				
FACILITY LOCATION	N: 1315 N. Michigan A	venue			
	AVON PARK				
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: FREDERIC JAHNA PHONE: (863)453-4353					
CONTACT NAME:		PHONE	:		
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 12/17/2005 / 12/17/2010					
	(effective date) (end date	e)			
PART I: INSPECTION	COMPLIANCE STATUS	(check ☑ only one box)			
☐ IN COMPLIAN			T Non-COMPLIANCE		
(check ☑ appropriate		<u>REMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A	A.C.		
Stack Emissions					
		this site visit according to EPA Met	hod 9 (Ref.: Chapter 		
2. Are emissions fro	om silos, weigh hoppers (batc	hers), and other enclosed storage an	d conveying equipment		
3. During visible em	controlled to the extent necessary to limit visible emissions to 5 percent opacity? No 3. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted				
unless such rate is	s unachievable in practice?	o loading rate, or at least at the mini	\ Yes \ \ No		
		operation controlled by the silo du questions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If answ			
skip 4.a) and 4.b)	and continue on to question	5.)			
b) During the vis	ible emissions test, was the b	atching rate representative of the no			
5. If emissions from	the weigh hopper (batcher) of	operation are controlled by a dust co	llector, which is separate		
		ssions tests of the weigh hopper (basentative of the normal batching rates	tcher) dust collector e and duration? \Big Yes \Big No		

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)	
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) 1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of tannual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)	
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 2. Did this facility demonstrate: a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?	☐Yes ☐ No
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?	
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after test was completed?	
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
	le 🗌
 (check appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ; 2) a relocatable ; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)	ing
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)	ing ☐Yes ⊠ No ☐Yes ☐ No

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIRE (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	EMENTS – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued	<i>d</i>)								
(cneck v appropriate box(es))		ı								
<u>Unconfined</u> <u>Emissions</u> – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)										
1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined										
emissions by: a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, a	and words, which shall include one or more of the following:	ı								
a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following: 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?										
					re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?					
					4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?					
						itigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? \bigsil Yes				
PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURE	ES Dula 62 210 300(4)(d)/4 F A C									
A. New or Modified Process Equipment	<u>25</u> – Kuie 02-210.500(4)(u)4., F.A.C.									
1. Since the last inspection has there been		_								
		No No								
	<u> </u>	No No								
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially		No No								
d) If you answered YES to any of the above, did the		∐ No								
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-	-4.050, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or									
local program office?		No No								
21 21 2 21	7/03/00									
Sherrill Culliver	7/22/08									
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection									
	7/22/09									
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection									
COMMENTS: Six baghouses were test. Two baghouses we	ere on top of two silos for the batch plant. The tallest northernn	most silo								
	t within 57 minutes at 10 psi. The Slag silo was the smallest and									
southern most of two silos with a single baghouse.	•									
	e for each compartment. The North 2-compartment silo contain									
compart. The northern compartment leaded 76 17 tone at 15 no	nsi. The southern compartment loaded 26.05 tons at 11 nsi. The	10 COURT								

The block plant has two 2-compartment silos with a baghouse for each compartment. The North 2-compartment silo contained cement. The northern compartment loaded 26.17 tons at 15 psi. The southern compartment loaded 26.05 tons at 11 psi. The South silo was tested, but the Department didn't witness the whole load.